Calenzanane Sesquiterpenes from the Red Seaweed *Laurencia microcladia* from the Bay of Calenzana, Elba Island: Acid-Catalyzed Stereospecific Conversion of Calenzanol into Indene- and Guaiazulene-Type Sesquiterpenes

Graziano Guella,*^[a] Danielle Skropeta,^[a] Ines Mancini,^[a] and Francesco Pietra^[b, c]

Abstract: It is shown here that calenzanane sesquiterpenes (1 and 6) can be isolated from organic extracts from the red seaweed *Laurencia microcladia* Kützing from the Bay of Calenzana, Elba Island, provided contact with acidic media is minimized. Such contact induces rearrangements of 1 in dry solvents to indene-type 5 and the bluecolored guaiazulenium-type ion 17, via spectrometrically (NMR) characterized indene-type transient intermediates 10,

Introduction

Red seaweeds of the genus *Laurencia* (Ceramiales, Rhodomelaceae) are a rich source of unusual secondary metabolites.^[1] The first example of a calenzanane sesquiterpene, calenzanol (1),^[2,3] is a recent addition. It was isolated as the main secondary metabolite from a

strain of *Laurencia microcladia* Kützing from the Bay of Calenzana, Elba Island, which also gave a new 6,8-cycloeudesmane sesquiterpene (2)^[4] and known sesquiterpenes, (-)- γ -cadinene (3) and (+)- α -cadinol (4). In a preliminary study, we noted that calenzanol (1), upon warming in either C₆D₆ or freshly base-washed CDCl₃, undergoes an intriguing transformation into the indene-type sesquiterpene (5).^[2]

14, and 12. Addition of NEt₃ to the reaction mixture at appropriate stages allowed the isolation of 12 (and 8 on workup on SiO₂), and guaiazulene (18). Prolonged contact with silica gel led to

Keywords: aromaticity • configuration determination • conformation analysis • reactive intermediates • terpenoids complete degradation of 1, giving calenzanane-type epimeric enones 20 a/ 20 b as well as indene-type epimeric carbinols 22 a/22 b and fulvene 7. The latter was also formed during silica-gel flash chromatography of the algal extracts. A unifying mechanistic view of these branching and cascade transformations may have both heuristic value, suggesting possible artefact origin of azulenoids, and synthetic applications.



Herein we describe reaction intermediates and branching routes for this and other decay processes, as well as a new calenzanane sesquiterpene from *L. microcladia*, in a mechanistic scenario that may have far-reaching implications for sesquiterpene chemistry.

Results and Discussion

Debromoisocalenzanol (6) and the artefact indene-type sesquiterpene 7: The unusual *cis* relationship between Br and OH in calenzanol $(1)^{[2]}$ has now found further support in its inertness toward 30% aqueous NaOH. In the less-encumbering *trans* relationship between Br and OH, epoxidation of the C3=C4 double bond should have occurred.^[5]

Flash chromatographic fractions of L. microcladia extracts^[2] were subjected anew to HPLC examination. Small

[[]a] G. Guella, D. Skropeta, I. Mancini Laboratorio di Chimica Bioorganica, Università di Trento, 38050 Povo-Trento (Italy) Fax: (+39)461-882009 E-mail: guella@science.unitn.it
[b] F. Pietra Centro Linceo Interdisciplinare "Beniamino Segre" via della Lungara, 10, 00165 Rome (Italy)
[c] F. Pietra Present address: via della Fratta 9, 55100 Lucca (Italy)

amounts of another new calenzanane sesquiterpene, debromoisocalenzanol (6) were isolated along with a new indenetype sesquiterpene, 7. The composition of 6, $C_{15}H_{24}O_{15}$ is based on HR-EI-MS data: three rings are inferred from only two singlets (C5=C6) in ¹³C NMR spectra. The cyclopropyl ring appeared as a multiplet at $\delta_{\rm H} = -0.14$ ppm, with a coupling pattern similar to that of 1. Assuming that



the stereochemistry of **1** is conserved, the α -H4 and β -Me14 positions were derived from strong NOEs for H10/H4 and H9/Me14. The positions of both C5=C6 and the C3-OH were assigned based on differential decoupling spectroscopy (DDS), single bond correlation (HMQC), and multiple bond correlation (HMBC) data. In support, molecular mechanics (MM) calculations suggested that, as a result of a distortion imposed on the tricyclic system by the olefinic bond, the six-membered ring adopts a quasiplanar rigid conformation, with Me14 in a pseudoaxial position. This shows good agreement between observed and calculated vicinal coupling constants, in particular J(4,9) = 1.8 Hz, which implies that C4-H and C9-H are almost orthogonal. Biogenesis of the calenzanane skeleton may be attributed to the 1,9cyclization of guaiane, arising from the well-established 2,6cyclization of germacrene D.^[6] The latter may also be a precursor of 6,8-cycloeudesmane (2) contained in our L. microcladia.^[4]

The bicyclic nature of **7** ($C_{15}H_{21}Br$; HR-EI-MS) is based on three trisubstituted double bonds as the only unsaturation present (from ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra), while the fulvene-type moiety is supported both by the low-field resonances of the olefinic protons and UV absorption at $\lambda_{max} =$ 262.4 nm. Bromomethine and *i*Pr groups (NMR) were connected to these groups, as in **7**, based on DDS, COSY, HMBC, and HMQC data.

Determining the stereochemistry of 7, although complex because of the flexible side chain, is essential to the central issue of the stereospecific nature of the rearrangement reactions of 1 dealt with in the next section. The configurations

at C6 and C8 are based on *J*coupling patterns and NOE data. MM calculations suggested a preference for a boatlike six-membered ring in the main conformers (Figure 1) to maintain the planarity of the fulvene-type system. Assuming β Me15 as in **1**, the $\delta_{\rm H}$ signal at 1.66 ppm is assigned to pseudoaxial H_{β}7 because of the large coupling with H6 (*J* =



Figure 1. Major conformers (7a, 7b, and 7c, in a fast equilibrium) for compound 7 according to molecular mechanics calculations.

10.7 Hz). In agreement, a small W coupling of J = 0.6 Hz occurs between H9 and pseudoequatorial H7 α , while the assignment of H8 β ($\delta_{\rm H} = 2.96$) is based on a small coupling (J = 4.2 and 4.8 Hz) with C7-H₂ and a coupling of J = 5.1Hz with H9 and a strong NOE with H9, which establishes an R* configuration at both C6 and C8. C10 was assigned an R^* configuration from a conformational space search followed by strain minimization and evaluation of ${}^{3}J$ (Table 1) that led to three major conformers, 7a (76%), 7b (14%), and 7c (10% rel. weight), in fast equilibrium (Figure 1). Measured vicinal coupling constants agree with those calculated for 7 (Table 1), whereas no agreement was found for the hypothetical $(6R^*, 8R^*, 10S^*)$ epimeric structure (Table 2). Least-strained 7a probably results from control of the side chain conformation by both the bulky bromine atom and 1,3-allylic strain between the C4=C9 bond and the C8 side chain, which is forced into a pseudoaxial position. This overrides a weaker allylic strain between C1=C5 and Me15. Therefore, in the less populated conformers 7b and 7c, Me15 is forced into a pseudoaxial position. No fit was obtained for either the hypothetical $(6R^*, 8R^*, 10S^*)$ epimer of 7 (Table 2) or any other conceivable diastereomeric structure.

Table 1. Experimental and calculated (GMMX/MM3) ${}^{3}J$ coupling constants for compound ($6R^{*},8R^{*},10R^{*}$)-7.

Conformer type (rel. population) Vicinal protons	7a (76%) ³ J calc	7b (14%) ulated for	7c (10%) r single	Averaged ³ <i>J</i> calculated $J_{av} = \Sigma_i x_i J_i$	Experimental values[Hz]	
conformers						
6, 7α	3.5	4.9	4.7	3.8	4.2	
6, 7β	12.2	2.0	2.1	9.8	10.7	
8, 7α	2.2	12.2	12.2	4.6	4.2	
8, 7β	4.6	3.7	3.8	4.4	4.8	
8, 10	10.9	2.5	11.0	9.8	9.1	
10, 11	1.9	10.6	2.2	3.2	3.8	

Table 2. Experimental and calculated (GMMX/MM3) ${}^{3}J$ coupling constants for the hypothetical $(6R^*, 8R^*, 10S^*)$ epimer of **7**.

Conformer type (rel. population) Vicinal protons	a (44%)	b (24%) ${}^{3}J$ calculate	c (16%) d for single	d (14%) conformers	e (2%)	Averaged ³ <i>J</i> calculated $J_{av} = \Sigma_i x_i J_i$	Experimental values[Hz]
6, 7α	3.3	5.0	4.8	4.9	4.9	4.2	4.2
6, 7β	12.3	2.0	2.1	2.0	2.0	6.5	10.7
8, 7α	2.3	12.3	12.2	12.3	12.3	7.9	4.2
8, 7β	4.5	3.6	4.0	3.6	3.6	4.0	4.8
8, 10	10.8	1.5	10.7	2.3	0.8	7.2	9.1
10, 11	2.0	10.4	2.1	10.4	1.9	5.2	3.8

Chem. Eur. J. 2003, 9, 5770-5777 W

www.chemeuri.org

Decay of calenzanol (1) in solution to form indene-type (5) and guaiazulenium ion (17) sesquiterpenes through observable intermediates 10, 12, and 14: Freshly purified, colorless calenzanol (1) proved to be stable, either as pure material or, at room temperature, in C_6D_6 , *n*-hexane, and freshly base-washed CDCl₃ solution. However, warming to 40 °C in the latter medium, in the course of variable-temperature ¹H NMR experiments, induced decomposition of 1: in a few minutes, signals for the indene-type sesquiterpene $5^{[2]}$ appeared, while those for 1 decreased. The solution also changed color to ink-blue (caused by the formation of 17), whereby the intensity increased over a period of 1–2 h until the process was completed. Aged yellow samples of calenzanol were acidic and particularly prone to decomposition into 5 and 17.

Decay of calenzanol (1) into 5 and 17 was monitored by ¹H NMR spectroscopy: three long-lived intermediates, 10, 12, and 14, were detected (Scheme 1). Although these intermediates appeared in the given sequence, each in turn, their complete ¹H NMR spectral assignment required separate experiments under different conditions. Further support for the structure of 12 and 17 is given by the isolation of 8 and 18 from the respective quenching reactions by triethylamine (top-right and bottom-right boxes in Scheme 1).

The ¹H NMR spectrum of the first observable intermediate (10) was characterized by the absence of the high-field cyclopropyl signals of 1; these signals were replaced by the signals for a bromomethine group ($\delta_{\rm H} = 4.05$ ppm (dd, 3.1, 9.8 Hz)) bound to the *i*Pr group ($\delta_{\rm H} = 1.02$ and 1.04 ppm, each d, J=6.5 Hz, Me). Clearly, ring-opening of the cyclopropyl moiety of 1 had occurred, presumably induced by free HBr. Four olefinic methine signals were also detected. They are linked by strong NOE values to the two exo-methylene singlets at $\delta_{\rm H}=4.99$ and 5.41 ppm. The remaining two olefinic resonances ($\delta_{\rm H}\,=\,5.72$ and 5.84 ppm) were assigned to the terminal positions of the conjugated diene across C1/C5 and C4/C9. The transient nature of 10 made it difficult to carry out detailed NOE experiments devised to assign the configuration at the chiral centers. However, in a molecular-modeling approach as for 7 above, the relative configuration $(6R^*, 8R^*, 10R^*)$ could be established from two major conformers, 10a and 10b (totaling 85%), with a pseudoaxial C8 side chain, and two minor conformers, 10c and 10d (totaling 15%), with a pseudoequatorial C8 chain (Table 3). Agreement was observed for the calculated and observed pattern of J coupling constants. In contrast, no agreement with the experimental findings was observed for the hypothetical $(6R^*, 8R^*, 10S^*)$ epimeric structure (Table 4).



Scheme 1. Rearrangement of calenzanol (1), proceeding through intermediates 10, 12, and 14 and ending in the indene 5 and blue guaiazulenium ion (17). Addition of Et_3N allowed the isolation of guaiazulene (18) (lower, single-line box) and 12. When SiO_2 chromatography was continued, compound 8 was isolated (upper, single-line box). The sequences within double-line boxes represent trapping of cations by water during workup on silica gel. Elusive intermediates are enclosed within square brackets.

Conformer type	10 a	10 b	10 c	10 d	Averaged J	Experimental	
(rel. population)	(67%)	(18%)	(12%)	(3%)	calculated	values [Hz]	
Vicinal protons	^{3}J calculated for single conformers				$J_{\mathrm{av}} = \Sigma_{\mathrm{i}} x_{\mathrm{i}} J_{\mathrm{i}}$		
6, 7α	3.4	4.8	4.6	4.6	3.8	4.0	
6, 7β	12.3	2.1	2.2	2.1	9.0	10.7	
8, 7α	2.2	12.2	12.2	12.3	5.5	4.0	
8, 7β	4.6	3.7	3.9	3.5	4.3	4.5	
8, 10	11.0	2.6	11.0	3.4	9.2	9.8	
10, 11	1.9	10.6	2.2	1.9	3.5	3.1	

Table 4. Experimental and calculated (GMMX/MM3) ${}^{3}J$ couplings for the hypothetical ($6R^{*}, 8R^{*}, 10S^{*}$) epimer of **10**.

Conformer type (rel. population) Vicinal protons	a (59%) ³ J calcu c	b (37%) ulated for onformer	c (4%) single	Averaged ${}^{3}J$ calculated $J_{av} = \Sigma_{i} x_{i} J_{i}$	Experimental values[Hz]
6, 7α	4.8	4.8	4.7	4.8	4.0
6, 7β	2.0	2.1	2.1	2.1	10.7
8, 7α	12.3	12.3	12.3	12.3	4.0
8, 7β	3.7	3.6	3.6	3.6	4.5
8, 10	1.5	2.3	0.8	1.8	9.8
10, 11	10.4	10.4	2.7	10.2	3.1

While ¹H NMR signals for **10** disappeared, those for **12** appeared. The latter compound was isolated from another batch of reaction mixture, made alkaline by the addition of Et_3N in small amounts. The composition of 12, that is $C_{15}H_{21}Br$, one H_2O less than for calenzanol (1), is supported by EI-MS and HR-EI-MS data. Of the five unsaturations, only three (one tetra-, one 1,2-di-, and one 1,1-disubstituted C=C double bond) appeared in the ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra. This supports the presence of the two cycles. The NMR spectra further support the bromomethine substituent ($\delta_{\rm H}$ = 3.94 ppm as dd, J = 5.0, 7.2 Hz and $\delta_{\rm C}$ = 73.13 ppm as d) and the *i*Pr group ($\delta_{\rm H} = 1.02$ and 1.04 ppm, each d, J = 6.6Hz; Me). Conjugation among the C=C bonds is suggested by the low-field resonance of the protons at the 1,2-disubstituted alkene moiety ($\delta_{\rm H} = 6.09$ ppm as d, J = 5.4 Hz and $\delta_{\rm H}$ = 6.33 pm as d, J = 5.4 Hz). This agrees with the UV absorption at $\lambda_{max} = 244$ nm and the strong NOE enhancement between H2 ($\delta_{\rm H} = 6.33$ ppm) and one of the methylene protons of the 1,1-disubstituted alkene ($\delta_{\rm H}$ = 5.65 ppm, brs, H_a14). These fragments could be assembled as in structure 12 on the basis of DDS, COSY, HMBC, and HMQC data.

A single HPLC peak and ¹³C NMR signals for a single molecular species indicated a single **12** stereoisomer, implying that acid-catalyzed opening of the cyclopropyl ring of calenzanol (**1**) is stereoselective. Assuming, from the MM calculations discussed below, the presence of a chairlike cyclohexene ring in the least-strained conformations of **12**, the relative configurations at C6 and C8 could be assigned from the coupling pattern and NOE enhancements. Thus, the signal at $\delta_{\rm H} = 1.73$ ppm for one of the methylene protons at C7 was assigned to the axial position (β in the arbitrarily chosen enantiomer **12**) on the basis of a large coupling constant (10.5 Hz) to the neighboring methine proton H6 ($\delta_{\rm H} = 2.66$ ppm). This suggests a *trans*-diaxial relationship be-

tween the two protons, which assigns to C6 the same configuration as in **1**. A smaller coupling constant of 5.8 Hz between H7 β and H8 suggests the equatorial position for the latter proton. A strong NOE enhancement between H7 β and a C9 methylene proton ($\delta_{\rm H} =$ 2.25 ppm) indicates an axial position for the latter. This agrees

with the small coupling constant (3.2 Hz) between H9 α and H8, as expected for a diequatorial relationship. No definite conclusions as to the configuration at C10 could be reached, however. The measured averaged values J(10,11) = 7.2 Hz and J(8,10) = 5.0 Hz are compatible with both the ($6R^*,8R^*,10S^*$) or epimeric ($6R^*,8R^*,10R^*$) stereochemistry. Therefore, the C10 configuration assumed for **12** was merely derived from the proposed reaction mechanism in Scheme 1. Further structural support was obtained from the isolation of a sizeable quantity of **8** from workup on SiO₂ of the NEt₃-quenched mixture (Scheme 1).

The ¹H NMR spectrum of the third intermediate, 14, closely resembled that of the first intermediate 10. In particular, the $\delta_{\rm H} = 3.90$ ppm (dd, J = 5.1 and 6.9 Hz), also observed for all other ring-opened compounds, was assigned to the bromomethine substituent at C10. However, all olefinic protons appeared at higher field, suggesting that the exomethylene olefinic bonds are isolated. Molecular modeling suggested five major conformers with the side chain at C8 in a pseudoaxial position and vicinal coupling constants J(8,10)of 4.0 ± 1 Hz were in excellent agreement with the measured value, 4.1 Hz. These calculations also indicated that four of the five conformers, totaling 72% weight, have H10 and H11 in a gauche relationship (corresponding to small J(10,11) values), while the remaining conformer possesses these two protons in a trans relationship, corresponding to high J(10,11) values. Boltzman averaging led to J(10,11) =7.8 Hz, in good agreement with the measured value 7.0 Hz. This supports the relative configuration $6R^{*}, 8R^{*}, 10R^{*}$ for 14, while calculations for the hypothetical $(6R^*, 8R^*, 10S^*)$ epimeric structure gave J(10,8) = 9.4 Hz and J(10,11) =2.1 Hz, which are in sharp contrast with the measured values.

Immediately afterwards, ¹H NMR signals for **14** were detected, and also those for the indene-type sesquiterpene **5**^[2] appeared, accompanied by three signals at low field ($\delta_{\rm H} = 8.58, 8.90,$ and 9.20 ppm) for the guaiazulenium ion **17**. The latter could be isolated as an unstable, opaque royal-blue amorphous solid, on heating and then cooling concentrated **1** in benzene. The structure depicted for **17** is supported by ¹H NMR spectra and selective homonuclear proton decoupling data, which are in agreement with ¹H NMR data obtained for stabilized azulenium cations, such as 5-isopropyl-3,8-dimethyl-1*H*-azulenium tetrafluoroborate^[7a] and 3-bromo- and 3,3-dibromoguaiazulenium bromide.^[7b]

¹H NMR experiments indicated that **17** is stable with regard to the addition of water, methanol, or 2,6-di-*tert*-bu-tylated hydroxytoluene (BHT), whereas hard nucleophiles,

FULL PAPER

such as triethylamine or potassium acetate, triggered instantaneous degradation into a complex mixture of products, from which guaiazulene **18** was obtained.

Any mechanistic hypothesis for these transformations has to take into account that calenzanol (1) is stable towards bases and quite sensitive to acids. Basic media and conditions include prolonged heating with 30% aqueous NaOH or Et₃N, and diazabicycloundecene (DBU),^[8] even under severe conditions, for example 180°C in toluene in a sealed tube. Acidic media include Lewis acids, such as ZnBr₂ or HgCl₂, even in trace amounts, and Brønsted acids, such as residual HCl in aged CDCl₃. Even unneutralized Pyrex walls of the reaction apparatus induced degradation of 1 on short warming in freshly purified CDCl₃ or long heating in hexane or benzene. Degradation of 1, under the above conditions, was unaffected by the addition at various times of a radical initiator, such as azoisobutyronitrile (AIBN), or an inhibitor, such as BHT.

A mechanism for the degradation of calenzanol (1) is proposed in Scheme 1. According to this proposal, acid-induced allylic dehydration of 1, followed by dehydrobromination, leads to intermediate 8, which is elusive under the reaction conditions but could be isolated on quenching the system with Et₃N just after the appearance of intermediate 12 (see Experimental Section). The low aromatic character of the fulvene ring, whose resonance energy ($\approx 6 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$) is far lower than that of a benzenoid system (\approx 36 kcalmol⁻¹),^[9] and the presence of vinylcyclopropane functionality confers unique chemical features to 8. Semiempirical PM3 calculations for 8 indicate the highest electron density at C2, which is, therefore, assumed to be the site of protonation, leading to a second elusive intermediate 9, in which the positive charge is mainly localized at C4. The incipient carbocation intermediate 9 is expected (and confirmed by our PM3 semiempirical calculations) to be particularly stabilized not only by two allylic double bonds but also by the conjugative effect of the bent orbitals of the cyclopropyl ring with the vacant p orbital of the cationic carbon. In 9, in fact, the empty p orbital at C4 is almost parallel to the C8-C10 cyclopropyl σ bond, a particularly favorable position for conjugation but also for its incipient assistance to the irreversible nucleophilic attack of Br- at C10 affording intermediate 10 through complete C8–C10 cyclopropyl σ bond migration.

In contrast, Br⁻ attack at C8, with ring-opening of the cyclopropyl unit through cleavage of the C8–C9 bond, followed by a 6π norcaradiene–cycloheptatriene type rearrangement, leads to the guaiazulenium ion intermediate **17** via two elusive intermediates, **15** and **16**. Intermediate **12**, which, being of the fulvene-type does not benefit from aromatic stability,^[9] can be regarded as being in a cul-de-sac, in a protonation/deprotonation equilibrium with elusive **11**, which derives from the protonation of **10**. Thus, **12**, which is depleted by irreversible change of **11** into elusive **13** via a 1,3-H shift, serves as a sink to provide intermediate **14**. According to PM3 calculations, the latter is 7.1 kcal mol⁻¹ more stable than **12**.

The alternative view of consecutive intermediates **10**, **12**, and **14** along the reaction path, while in accordance with the

order of their appearance from the NMR spectra, contravenes least-motion principles.

Decay of calenzanol (1) on silica gel: All attempts at purifying large amounts of calenzanol (1) by preparative TLC on silica gel resulted in the complete degradation of this material to give the bicyclic triene 7, and the C3 epimeric mixtures **22a/22b** (in a 3:2 ratio) and **20a/20b** (in a 7:3 ratio) (Scheme 1, double-line boxes).

A short residence time on silica gel, at low concentrations, such as on flash chromatography (FC) (0.4 g of algal extract on 40 g of silica gel; see Experimental Section) is mandatory for the isolation of **1** as well as **2–5**. Performing the same FC with 4 g of algal extracts on 200 g of silica gel required longer times, and thus a longer contact time of concentrated **1** with the chromatographic support. This led to **7**, a 3:2 epimeric mixture **22 a/22b**, and a 7:3 mixture of C3 epimeric **20 a/20b**. Similarly, preparative silica-gel TLC of **1** led to its complete conversion into **7**, and 3:2 epimeric **22 a/22b**, and 7:3 epimeric **20 a/20b** mixtures (see Experimental Section).

The composition of $C_{15}H_{22}O$ given for epimers **20 a/20 b** is based on HR-EI-MS measurements. The α,β -unsaturated keto group, detected by ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectroscopy, accounts for one HBr unit less and one unsaturated bond more than calenzanol (1). The cyclopropyl ring finds support in a high-field methine signal at $\delta_H = 0.89$ ppm, while fivemembered-ring to six-membered-ring fusion through the C= C bond is consistent with the absence of olefinic proton signals. DDS, COSY, HMBC, and HMQC experiments allowed us to determine the carbon skeletons, in particular revealing that the cyclopropyl moiety has the same stereochemistry as in calenzanol (1). Both ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra indicated a 7:3 molar ratio for **20 a/20 b**.

Isolation of triene 7 as a single stereoisomer, and carbinols **22 a/22b** as a C3 epimeric mixture, provide further support to our tenet that, in the transformation of calenzanol (1) into 10, 12, 14, and degraded products, dehydration across C5 and C6 is not involved, while opening of the cyclopropyl ring is stereoselective.

Formation of 20 a/20 b and 22 a/22 b is suggested in Scheme 1 (double-line boxes) from trapping of carbocations by H₂O, unavoidably present in silica gel. The first are suggested to arise from trapping of 9 to give elusive 19, which undergoes air oxidation and reduction. To rationalize 22 a/22 b, we should admit the presence of another elusive intermediate carbocation, 21, arising, in parallel to 11, from protonation of 10. Carbocation 21 is trapped by H₂O to give 22 a/22 b, which are immediate precursors of 7, obtained by dehydration. That 22 a/22 b were isolated during experiments of induced degradation of 1, but not from algal extract, where 7 was present, means that the latter workup induced dehydration of 22 a/22 b.

Conclusion

We have shown here that calenzanane sesquiterpenes (1 and 6) can be isolated from EtOH/MeOH extracts from the red seaweed *Laurencia microcladia* Kützing from the Bay of

Calenzana, Elba Island, provided that contact with acidic media is minimized. The latter trigger rearrangements of 1. In dry solvents, indene-type 5 and the blue guaiazuleniumtype ion 17 are formed through indene-type transient intermediates 10, 14, and 12 (Scheme 1), which were observed by ¹H NMR spectroscopy in separate experiments under different conditions. The latter could also be isolated on addition of NEt₃, allowing the measurement of both ¹³C NMR and MS/HR-MS spectra. Aged 1, degrades faster than the pure material, presumably because of HBr formed. Trapping of 17 by NEt₃ gave guaiazulene (18), and workup on SiO_2 of the NEt₃-quenched reaction mixture containing 12 gave 8, which could be structurally investigated in detail by ¹H and ¹³C NMR and MS/HR-MS spectra, allowing structural confirmation of the transient intermediates. On prolonged contact with silica gel, complete degradation of 1 occurred to give the calenzanane-type epimeric enones 20 a/20 b as well as indene-type epimeric carbinols 22 a/22 b and fulvene 7 (Scheme 1, double-line boxes), the latter was also observed during silica-gel flash chromatography of the algal extracts. Observation of reaction intermediates by NMR spectroscopy under ordinary conditions, as in this study, is uncommon. This allows us to propose a unifying mechanism on these branching and cascade transformations (Scheme 1), where elusive, hypothetical species, enclosed in square parentheses, accompany those firmly established. This may have both heuristic value, suggesting that azulenoids in nature may also result from chemical bias to aromatization, and possible synthetic applications derived from the regio- and stereospecific course of these reactions under anhydrous conditions.

Experimental Section

General methods Flash-chromatography (FC): Merck Si-60, 15-25 m. HPLC: Merck LiChrosorb Si-60250×4.6 mm (7 µm) with hexane/iPrOH or Merck LiChrospher 100 RP18 (5 µm) with CH₃CH/H₂O. Preparative HPLC: 250×10 mm columns. Polarimetric data: JASCO-DIP-181 polarimeter. NMR: Varian XL-300 spectrometer equipped for inverse detection; chemical shifts are reported relative to residual solvent signals (for $\text{CDCl}_3 \delta_H = 7.260 \text{ ppm}$ and $\delta_C = 77.00 \text{ ppm}$; for $C_6 D_6 \delta_H = 7.150 \text{ ppm}$ and $\delta_{\rm C}=128.50$ ppm), coupling constants (J) are given in Hz. For compounds 6, 7, and 20, COSY 1H,1H, NOE1D (differential NOE), HMQC, and HMBC experiments were carried out. EI-MS: Kratos MS80 mass spectrometer with a home-built data system. MM calculations were performed with the computer programs PCMODEL 7.0, based on the MMX force-field, from Serena Software, and MM3(96), based on the MM3 force-field, from QCPE, Indiana University. IUPAC numbering is only used in the following for retrieval purposes. Compounds 1-4 have been described previously.[2,4]

HPLC isolation of compounds from algal extracts: The residue (0.05 g) from evaporation of fractions 1–8 from the 40 fractions obtained before from *L. microcladia* extracts (carried out with EtOH, then MeOH),^[2] was subjected to HPLC on Si60 with *n*-hexane (flow gradient from 5 mLmin⁻¹ to 8 mLmin⁻¹ over a period of 20 min) to afford **7** ($t_R = 15.8$ min, 4.8 mg), along with δ -cadinene ($t_R = 5.1$ min, 2.5 mg), previously obtained from this seaweed.^[4] The residue of fractions 9–11 (980 mg) was subjected to HPLC on Si60 with *n*-hexane/EtOAc (98:2). The residue (150 mg) from fractions 14–16 was subjected to HPLC on Si60 with *n*-hexane/iPrOH (99 :1) under refractometric detection, to give 6 ($t_R = 12.9$ min, 4.6 mg) and 5-bromo-1-isopropyl-2,5a-dimethyl-decahydro-cyclopropa[*a*]inden-2-ol (**2**) ($t_R = 13.7$ min, 12.0 mg), a 6,8-cycloeudesmane sesquiterpene previously described from this seaweed.^[4]

Calenzanol (4-bromo-1-isopropyl-3,6-dimethyl-1a,2,3,4,5,6b-hexahydro-1*H*-cyclopropa[e]inden-3a-ol) (1):^[2] Colorless oil; $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +12$ (c = 3.00, *n*-hexane).

Calenzanane-type sesquiterpene [1-isopropyl-3,6-dimethyl-1a,2,4,5,6a,6bhexahydro-1*H*-cyclopropa[e]inden-6-ol] (6): Colorless oil, $[\alpha]_{D}^{20} = -15.8$ (c = 0.04, MeOH); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, C₆D₆): $\delta = -0.14$ (td, ${}^{3}J(10,8) = 4.8, {}^{3}J(10,9) = 4.8, {}^{3}J(10,11) = 8.5 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}; \text{H}10), 0.69 \text{ (dddd,}$ ${}^{3}J(8,7\alpha) = 1.8, {}^{3}J(8,10) = 4.8, {}^{3}J(8,7\beta) = 7.8, {}^{3}J(8,9) = 9.1 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}; \text{H8}),$ $0.76 (ddd, {}^{3}J(9,4) = 1.8, {}^{3}J(9,10) = 4.8, {}^{3}J(9,8) = 9.1 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}; \text{ H9}), 0.88$ $(\text{septd}, {}^{3}J(11,\text{Me12}) = 6.5, {}^{3}J(11,\text{Me13}) = 6.5, {}^{3}J(11,10) = 8.5 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}; 11$ H), 1.01 (d, ${}^{3}J(Me12,11) = 6.5$ Hz, 3H; Me12), 1.03 (d, ${}^{3}J(Me13,11) =$ 6.5 Hz, 3H; Me13), 1.38 (s, 3H; Me14), 1.53 (brs, 3H; Me15), 1.54 (ddd, ${}^{3}J = 8.9, \, {}^{3}J = 10.7, \, {}^{2}J(2a,2b) = 13.4 \,\text{Hz}, 1\,\text{H}; \,\text{H2a}), \, 1.71 \, (\text{m}, 1\,\text{H}; \,\text{H4}),$ 1.79 (ddd, ${}^{3}J = 2.6$, ${}^{3}J = 9.1$, ${}^{2}J(2b,2a) = 13.4$ Hz, 1 H; H2b), 1.87 (br d, ${}^{2}J(7\alpha,7\beta) = 17.8$ Hz, 1H; H7 α), 2.07 (m, 1H; H1), 2.24 (br dd, ${}^{3}J(7\beta,8)$ $7.8, {}^{2}J(7\beta,7\alpha) = 17.8 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}; \text{H}7\beta), 2.30 \text{ (m, 1 H; H1)}, 1.30 \text{ ppm (brs;}$ OH); ¹³C NMR: $\delta = 14.43$ (d; C8), 15.33 (d; C9), 19.47 (q; C15), 21.80 (q; C12), 21.92 (q; C13), 24.66 (q; C14), 24.97 (t; C2), 30.56 (t; C7), 33.37 (d; C11), 36.15 (d; C10), 39.01 (t; C1), 50.03 (d; C4), 79.15 (s; C3), 124.89 (s; C6), 132.34 ppm (s; C5); MS (70 eV, EI): m/z (%): 220 ([M]⁺, 18), 202 ([M-H₂O]⁺, 9), 187 (16), 119 (100); HR-EI-MS: m/z: calcd for $C_{15}H_{24}O$: 220.1827; found: 220.1831 ± 0.005.

Indene-type sesquiterpene 7 [6-(1-Bromo-2-methyl-propyl)-1,4-dimethyl-5,6-dihydro-4*H*-indene]: Yellow oil; CD (MeOH): -0.96 ($\lambda_{max} = 284$ nm), +0.72 ($\lambda_{max} = 259$ nm), -2.2 ($\lambda_{max} = 210$ nm); ¹H NMR $\delta = 1.02$ $(d, {}^{3}J(Me13,11) = 6.5 \text{ Hz}, 3 \text{ H}; Me13), 1.08 (d, {}^{3}J(Me12,11) = 6.5 \text{ Hz},$ 3H; Me12), 1.16 (d, ${}^{3}J$ (Me15,6) = 6.8 Hz, 3H; Me15), 1.66 (ddd, ${}^{3}J$ (7 β ,8) $= 4.8, {}^{3}J(7\beta,6) = 10.7, {}^{2}J(7\beta,7\alpha) = 13.3 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}; \text{ H}7\beta), 1.93 \text{ (dseptet,}$ ${}^{3}J(11,10) = 3.8, {}^{3}J(11,Me12) = 6.5, {}^{3}J(11,Me13) = 6.5 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}; 11 \text{ H}),$ 1.98 (br d, ${}^{4}J(Me14,2) = 1.4$ Hz, 3H; Me14), 2.26 (dtd, ${}^{5}J(7\alpha,9) = 0.5$ Hz, ${}^{3}J(7\alpha,6) = 4.2, \, {}^{3}J(7\alpha,8) = 4.2, \, {}^{2}J(7\alpha,7\beta) = 13.3 \,\text{Hz}, \, 1\,\text{H}; \,\text{H7}\alpha), \, 2.82 \,\,(\text{qdd},$ ${}^{3}J(6,\text{Me15}) = 6.8, {}^{3}J(6,7\alpha) = 4.2, {}^{3}J(6,7\beta) = 10.7 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}; \text{ H6}), 2.96$ $(dddd, {}^{3}J(8,7\alpha) = 4.2, {}^{3}J(8,7\beta) = 4.8, {}^{3}J(8,9) = 5.1, {}^{3}J(8,10) = 9.1 \text{ Hz},$ 1 H; H8), 4.17 (dd, ${}^{3}J(10,11) = 3.8$, ${}^{3}J(10,8) = 9.1$ Hz, 1 H; H10), 5.91 (q, J = 1.6 Hz, 1H; H1), 6.04 (quintet, ${}^{3}J(2,1)=2.0$, ${}^{4}J(2,Me14) = 2.0$ Hz, 1 H; H2), 6.44 ppm (dd, ${}^{5}J = 1.6$, ${}^{3}J(9,8) = 5.1$ Hz, 1 H; H9); ${}^{13}C$ NMR: $\delta = 11.64$ (q; C15), 17.48 (q; C12), 19.99 (q; C14), 22.58 (q; C13), 25.96 (d; C6), 31.70 (d; C11), 37.37 (t; C7), 40.64 (d; C8), 69.13 (d; C10), 122.59 (d; C1), 128.94 (s; C3), 129.25 (d; C2), 133.54 (d; C9), 137.31 (s; C4), 146.33 (s; C5); UV (MeOH): λ_{max} (ϵ) = 258 nm (6200 mol⁻¹ dm³ cm⁻¹); MS (70 eV, EI): m/z (%): 282/280 ([M]⁺, 10), 267/265 ([M-CH₃]⁺, 1), 201 ($[M-Br]^+$, 7), 185 ($[M-CH_3-HBr]^+$, 5), 145 ($[M-C_4H_8Br]^+$, 100); HR-EI-MS: m/z calcd for C₁₅H₂₁⁷⁹Br 280.0827; found: 280.0829 ± 0.006.

NMR observation of intermediates 10, 12, and 14, and isolation of 12 and guaiazulenium compound 17, in the degradation of calenzanol (1): The general procedure (adapted below to each particular case, allowing the isolation of 12 and 17) consisted of taking an aliquot of freshly purified calenzanol (1), stored as a 0.5 mgmL^{-1} solution in *n*-hexane at -4° C, and carefully concentrating it by repetitive evaporation in the presence of added CCl₄, so as to prevent evaporation to dryness. Freshly base-washed CDCl₃ was then added to the concentrated solution and the sample was flushed with argon. NMR spectra of the colorless starting solution were recorded at 14°C, which showed only the presence of calenzanol (1). The solution was gently warmed for 5 min at 40°C and then cooled to 14°C to record the NMR spectra. Intermediates 10, 12, and 14 appeared consecutively and were accompanied by an intense blue coloration of the solution that increased in intensity as the rearrangement reactions proceeded to the end product, 5.

Intermediate 10 [6-(1-bromo-2-methyl-propyl)-4-dimethyl-1-methylene-2,4,5,6-tetrahydro-1*H*-indene]: ¹H NMR: $\delta = 1.02$ (d, ³*J*(Me12,11) = 6.5 Hz, 3H; Me12), 1.04 (d, ³*J*(Me13,11) = 6.5 Hz, 3H; Me13), 1.17 (d, ³*J*(Me15,6) = 6.7 Hz, 3H; Me15), 1.44 (ddd, ³*J*(7 β ,8) = 5.2, ³*J*(7 β ,6) = 11.8, ²*J*(7 β ,7 α) = 13.3 Hz, 1H; H7 β), 2.01 (m, 1H; 11-H), 2.16 (td, ³*J*(7 α ,6)=4.0, ³*J*(7 α ,8) = 4.0, ²*J*(7 α ,7 β) = 13.3 Hz, 1H; H7 α), 2.52 (m, 1H; H6), 2.75 (tdd, ³*J*(8,7 α)=4.5, ³*J*(8,7 β) = 4.5, ³*J*(8,9) = 5.4, ³*J*(8,10) = 9.8 Hz, 1H; H8), 3.11 (m, 2H; H2), 4.05 (dd, ³*J*(10,11) = 3.1, ³*J*(10,8) = 9.8 Hz; H10), 4.99 (brs; H14a), 5.41 (brs; H14b), 5.72 (m; H1), 5.84 (brd, ³*J*(9,8) = 5.4 Hz; H9).

Intermediate 12 [6-(1-bromo-2-methyl-propyl)-4-dimethyl-1-methylene-4,5,6,7-tetrahydro-1*H*-indene]: Degradation of a solution of calenzanol

---- 5775

(1) in CDCl₃ (4.0 mg in 0.6 mL) was initiated as described above for the general procedure; after about 5 min, at the onset of NMR signals for 12, triethylamine (10 µL) was added, the solution was concentrated, and thereafter immediately subjected to HPLC (Merck Lichrosorb Si60, 7 μ m, 1×25 cm, 100% hexane, $\lambda = 254$ nm, flow = 5 mLmin⁻¹) to give 12 $(t_{\rm R} = 5.4 \text{ min}, 2.5 \text{ mg})$ as a pale yellow oil. $[a]_{\rm D} = -16.5 (c = 0.9, c)$ MeOH); ¹H NMR: $\delta = 1.04$ (d, ³J(Me12,11)=6.6, ³J(Me13,11) = 6.6 Hz, 6H; Me12 and Me13), 1.13 (d, ${}^{3}J(Me15,6) = 7.2$ Hz, 3H; Me15), 1.73 (ddd, ${}^{3}J(7\beta,8) = 5.8$, ${}^{3}J(7\beta,6) = 10.5$, ${}^{2}J(7\beta,7\alpha) = 13.4$ Hz, 1 H; H7 β), 1.93 (br d, ${}^{2}J$ (7 α ,7 β) = 13.4 Hz, 1 H; H7 α , 2.04 (dseptet, ${}^{3}J(11,\text{Me12}) = 6.6, \; {}^{3}J(11,\text{Me13}) = 6.6, \; {}^{3}J(11,10) = 7.2 \text{ Hz}, \; 1 \text{ H}; \; 11 \text{ -H}),$ 2.14 (m, 1 H; H8), 2.25 (m, 1 H; H9a), 2.47 (dd, ${}^{3}J(9a,8) = 3.2, {}^{2}J(9a,9\beta)$ = 15.4 Hz, 1H; H9 α), 2.66 (m, 1H; H6), 3.94 (dd, ³J(10,8) = 5.0, ${}^{3}J(10,11) = 7.2$ Hz, 1H; H10), 5.62 (s, 1H; H14b), 5.65 (brs, 1H; H14a), 6.09 (d, ${}^{3}J(1,2) = 5.4$ Hz, 1H; H1), 6.33 ppm (dd, ${}^{3}J(2,H14a) = 1.2$, ${}^{3}J(2,1) = 5.4$ Hz, 1 H; H2); ${}^{13}C$ NMR: $\delta = 18.61$ (q; C12 or C13), 19.92 (q; C15), 22.19 (q; C13 or C12), 26.64 (t; C7), 28.36 (d; C6), 31.44 (d; C11), 34.68 (t; C9), 35.19 (d; C8), 73.13 (d; C10), 117.04 (t; C14), 124.03 (d; C2), 126.42 (s; C4), 133.27 (d; C1), 151.94 (s; C5), 152.06 ppm (s; C3); UV (MeOH) : λ_{max} (ϵ) = 241 nm (5500 mol⁻¹ dm³ cm⁻¹); CD (MeOH): -0.76 ($\lambda_{max} = 278 \text{ nm}$), +0.40 ($\lambda_{max} = 227 \text{ nm}$); MS (70 eV, EI): *m*/*z* (%): 282/280 ([*M*]⁺, 0.3), 201 ([*M*-Br]⁺, 0.5), 32 (43), 28 (100); HR-EI-MS: m/z calcd for C₁₅H₂₁⁷⁹Br: 280.0827; found: 280.0825 ± 0.006.

Intermediate 14 [6-(1-bromo-2-methyl-propyl)-4-dimethyl-1-methylene-2,6,7,7a-tetrahydro-1*H*-indene]: ¹H NMR: $\delta = 1.04$ (d, ³*J*(Me12,11) = 6.6, ³*J*(Me13,11) = 6.6 Hz, 6H; Me12 andMe13), 1.45 (m, 1H; H9), 1.57 (s, 3H; Me15), 1.75 (m, 1H; H9), 1.80 (brs, 1H; H4), 2.02 (m, 1H; H11), 2.75 (m, 1H; H8), 3.02 (qd, ³*J*(2a,1) = 1.5, ⁴*J*(2a,14Ha) = 1.5, ⁴*J*(2a,14Hb) = 1.5, ²*J*(2a,2b) = 18.3 Hz, 1H; H2a), 3.21 (tdd, ⁴*J*(2b,14Ha) = 1.5, ⁴*J*(2b,14Ha) = 1.5, ³*J*(2a,1) = 6.0, ²*J*(2b,2a) = 18.3 Hz, 1H; H2b), 3.90 (dd, ³*J*(10,11) = 7.0, ³*J*(10,8) = 5.1 Hz, H10), 4.86 (brs, 1H; H14a), 4.91 (brs, 1H; H14b), 5.09 (m, 1H; H7), 5.19 (brd, ³*J*(1,2a) = 6.0 Hz, 1H; H1).

Intermediate 17 [5-isopropyl-3,8-dimethyl-1,2,3,3a-tetrahydroazulenium **bromide**]: Degradation of a concentrated solution of calenzanol (1) in benzene (20.0 mg in 0.6 mL) was initiated as described above for the general procedure; degradation was allowed to proceed freely to 5, in a blue mixture. This was cooled to -22 °C, to give tetrahydroazulenium bromide (17) as a blue amorphous solid. Yield: 4.95 mg (25%); ¹H NMR: $\delta =$ 1.45 (d, ${}^{3}J(Me12,11) = 6.6$, ${}^{3}J(Me13,11) = 6.6$ Hz, 6H; Me12 and Me13), $1.54 (d, {}^{3}J(Me14,3) = 6.6 Hz, 3H; Me14), 1.97 (m, 1H; H2a), 2.66 (m, 1H; H2a), 2.6$ 1H; H2b), 2.98 (brs, 3H; Me15), 3.49 (m, 2H; H1), 3.51 (septet, ${}^{3}J(11,\text{Me12}) = 6.6, \;\; {}^{3}J(11,\text{Me13}) = 6.6 \text{ Hz}, \;\; 1 \text{ H}; \;\; \text{H11}), \;\; 3.97 \;\; (\text{sextet}, \;$ ${}^{3}J(3,\text{Me14}) = {}^{3}J(3,2) = 6.6 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}; \text{ H3}), 8.58 \text{ (s, 1 H; H10)}, 8.90 \text{ (d,}$ ${}^{3}J(8,7) = 10.8$ Hz, 1 H; H8), 9.20 (d, ${}^{3}J(7,8) = 10.8$ Hz, 1 H; H7). Decomposition during acquisition prevented the recording of ¹³C NMR spectra. Quenching reactions with triethylamine to give 1-isopropyl-3-methyl-6methylene-1,1a,2,3,6,6b-hexahydro-1H-cyclopropa[e]indene (8): To a solution of calenzanol (1, 4 mg in 600 µL of CDCl₃) that had already begun to decompose was added Et₃N (50 µL of pure reagent). ¹H NMR signals for only intermediates 10 and 12 were detectable. After a few minutes, triethylamine was removed in vacuo and the raw material purified by HPLC on Si60 with *n*-hexane (100%), to give 8 ($t_{\rm R}$ = 4.2 min. Yield: 1.2 mg (42%). Colorless oil; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 0.67$ (m, 1H; H9), 0.79 (m, 1H; H10), 0.95 (m,1H; H8), 0.96 (d, ${}^{3}J(Me12,11) =$ 6.5 Hz, 6H; Me12 and Me13), 1.12 $(d_{,3}J(Me15,6) = 6.5$ Hz, 3H; Me15), 1.4-2.2 (series of m, 4H; H6, H72, 11-H), 5.62 (s, 1H; H14b), 5.86 (brs, 1 H; H14a), 6.00 (d, ${}^{3}J(1,2) = 5.3$ Hz, 1 H; H1), 6.42 ppm (dd, ${}^{3}J(2,H14a)$ $= 0.9, {}^{3}J(2,1) = 5.3 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}; 2 \text{ H}); \text{ MS } (70 \text{ eV}, \text{EI}); m/z \ (\%); 400 \ (2[M]^{+},$ 18), 357 (5, ($[M-CH(CH_3)_2^+)$, 200 ($[M]^+$, 29), 157 (33, ($[M-CH(CH_3)_2^+)$; HR-EI-MS: m/z: calcd for C₁₅H₂₀: 220.1565; found: 200.1568 ± 0.005 .

TLC of calenzanol (1) on silica gel: Attempts to purify calenzanol (1, 0.045 g) by means of preparative TLC on silica gel with *n*-hexane/EtOAc (85:15) resulted in its complete degradation. We were able to isolate compound **7** ($R_f = 0.9$, 15 mg) along with a fraction consisting of **22 a** and its epimer **22b** in a 3:2 ratio ($R_f = 0.2$, 12 mg), and the epimeric mixture **20 a/20b** in a 7:3 ratio ($R_f = 0.3$, 7 mg).

Epimeric mixture 20/20 b [1-isopropyl-3,6-dimethyl-1a,2,3,5,6,6b-hexahydro-1H-cyclopropa[e]inden-4-one]: The mixture from TLC was further purified by HPLC (Merck Lichrosphere Si60, 5 μ m, 0.4×25 cm, hexane/

EtOAc (85:15), $\lambda = 254$ nm, flow = 1 mLmin⁻¹, $t_{\rm R} = 8.0$ min) to give a diastereomeric mixture of 20a and 20b in 7:3 ratio as a colorless oil. ¹H NMR: $\delta = 0.89$ (m, 1H; H10, **20a** & **20b**), 0.96 (d, ³J(Me12,11) = 6.5 Hz, 0.9H; Me12, **20b**), 0.97 (d, ${}^{3}J$ (Me12,11) = 6.5 Hz, 2.1 H; Me12, **20a**), 0.98 (d, ${}^{3}J$ (Me13,11) = 6.5 Hz, 3 H; Me13, **20a** & **20b**), 1.10 (m, 1 H; 11-H, **20 a** & **20 b**), 1.23 (d, ${}^{3}J(Me14,6) = 7.1$ Hz, 0.9 H; Me14, **20 b**), 1.24 (d, ${}^{3}J(\text{Me14,6}) = 7.1 \text{ Hz}, 2.1 \text{ H}; \text{ Me14}, 20 \text{ a}), 1.26 \text{ (d, } {}^{3}J(\text{Me15,6}) = 6.6 \text{ Hz},$ 0.9 H; Me15, **20b**), 1.27 (d, ${}^{3}J(Me15,6) = 6.8$ Hz, 2.1 H; Me15, **20a**), 1.29 (m, 1H; H8, 20a & 20b) 1.35 (m, 1H; 7-H β , 20a & 20b), 1.40 (m, 1H; H9, **20a** & **20b**), 1.92 (dd, ${}^{2}J(2\alpha,3) = 2.0$, ${}^{2}J(2\alpha,2\beta) = 18.3$ Hz, 0.7 H; H2 α , **20a**), 1.94 (dd, ${}^{3}J(2\beta,3) = 2.0$, ${}^{2}J(2\beta,2\alpha) = 18.2$ Hz, 0.3 H; H2 β , **20b**), 2.09 (br dd, ${}^{3}J(7\alpha, 6) = 1.8$ Hz, ${}^{2}J(7\alpha, 7\beta) = 13.0$ Hz, 1 H; 7-H α , **20a** & **20b**), 2.16 (m, 1 H; H6, **20a** & **20b**), 2.53 (dd, ${}^{3}J(2\beta,3) = 6.5$, ${}^{2}J(2\beta,2\alpha)$ = 18.3 Hz, 0.3 H; H2 β , **20b**), 2.58 (dd, ${}^{3}J(2\beta,3) = 6.7$, ${}^{2}J(2\beta,2\alpha) = 18.3$ Hz, 0.7 H; H2 β , **20 a**), 2.83 ppm (m, 1 H; H3, **20 a** & **20 b**); ¹³C NMR: δ = 17.29 (q, C15, 20a), 17.45 (q, C15, 20b), 19.14 (q, C14, 20b), 19.80 (q, C14, 20a), 20.01(d, C8, 20a and 20b), 21.57 (q, C12, 20b), 21.68 (q, C13, 20a), 21.84 (q, C13, 20a & 20b), 23.65 (d, C9, 20a), 24.00 (d, C9, 20b), 24.95 (d, C6, 20b), 25.24 (d, C6, 20a), 30.07 (t, C7, 20a), 30.19 (t, C7, **20b**), 32.83 (d, C11, **20b**), 33.11(d, C11, **20a**), 34.93(d, C3, **20b**), 35.83 (d, C3, 20a), 36.75 (d, C10, 20a), 37.19(d, C10, 20b), 44.01 (t, C2, 20b), 44.19 (t, C2, 20a), 134.22 (s, C5, 20a), 134.65 (s, C5, 20b), 181.29 (s, C4, 20b), 181.75 (s, C4, 20a), 206.61 (s, C1, 20b), 206.84 ppm (s, C1, 20a); UV (CHCl₃): $\lambda_{max} = 254.0 \text{ nm}$; MS (70 eV, EI): m/z (%): 219 ([MH]⁺, 4), 218 ($[M]^+$, 8), 203 ($[M-CH_3]^+$, 3), 176 ($[MH-iPr]^+$, 4), 175 $([M-iPr]^+, 5), 162 ([M-56]^+, 100), 147 (32), 120 (93), 119 (32), 105 (72),$ 91 (24), 41 (C₃H₅⁺, 25); HR-EI-MS: *m*/*z* calcd for C₁₅H₂₂O 218.1671; found: 218.1666 ± 0.006

Diastereomeric mixture 22 a/22 b [6-(1-bromo-2-methyl-propyl)-1,4-dimethyl-2,4,5,6-tetrahydro-1H-inden-1-ol]: The material from the TLC band at $R_f = 0.2$ was further purified by HPLC on Si60, 7 µm, 1×25 cm, *n*-hexane/*i*PrOH (98:2), $\lambda = 254$ nm, flow = 5 mLmin⁻¹, $t_{\rm R} = 8.5$ min) to give a colorless oil composed of 22a and 22b in a 3:2 ratio. ¹H NMR: $\delta = 1.01$ (d, ³*J*(Me12, 11) = 6.5 Hz, 1.8H; Me12, 22a), 1.02 (d, ${}^{3}J(\text{Me12,11}) = 6.5 \text{ Hz}, 1.2 \text{ H}; \text{Me12}, 22 \text{ b}), 1.03 \text{ (d, } {}^{3}J(\text{Me13,11}) = 6.5 \text{ Hz},$ 1.2 H; Me13, **22 b**), 1.04 (d, ${}^{3}J(Me13,11) = 6.5$ Hz, 1.8 H; Me13, **22 a**), 1.14 (d, ${}^{3}J(Me15,6) = 6.6$ Hz, 1.2H; Me15, **22b**), 1.15 (d, ${}^{3}J(Me15,6) =$ 6.6 Hz, 1.8H; Me15, 22a), 1.37 (s, 1.2H; Me14, 22b), 1.39 (s, 1.8H; Me14, **22a**), 1.40 (ddd, ${}^{3}J(7\beta,8) = 4.1$, ${}^{3}J(7\beta,6) = 10.5$, ${}^{2}J(7\beta,7\alpha) = 13.3$ Hz, 1H; H7β), 1.55 (brs, OH), 1.97 (m, 0.4H; 11-H, 22b), 1.99 (dseptet, ${}^{3}J(11,10) = 3.4, {}^{3}J(11,Me12) = 6.5, {}^{3}J(11,Me13) = 6.5 \text{ Hz}, 0.6 \text{ H}; 11-\text{H},$ **22 a**), 2.12 (brtd, ${}^{3}J(7\alpha,6) = 4.1$, ${}^{3}J(7\alpha,8) = 4.1$, ${}^{2}J(7\alpha,7\beta) = 13.3$ Hz, 1 H; H7a, 22a & 22b), 2.50 (m, 1H; H6, 22a & 22b), 2.55 (m, 2H; H2, 22a & **22b**), 2.71 (qd, ${}^{3}J(8,7\alpha) = 4.1$, ${}^{3}J(8,7\beta) = 4.1$, ${}^{3}J(8,9) = 4.1$, ${}^{3}J(8,10) = 9.4$ Hz, 1H; H8, **22a** & **22b**), 4.02 (dd, ${}^{3}J(10,11) = 3.4$, ${}^{3}J(10,8) = 9.4$ Hz, 1H; H10, 22a & 22b), 5.60 ppm (m, 2H; H1 & H9, 22a & 22b); ¹³C NMR: $\delta = 16.98$ (q, C15, **22b**), 17.16 (q, C15, **22a**), 18.65 (q, C13, **22b**), 18.95 (q, C13, 22a), 22.73 (q, C12, 22a), 22.83 (q, C12, 22b), 26.17 (d, C6, $\textbf{22b}),\,26.28\,\,(d,\,C6,\,\textbf{22a}),\,27.79\,\,(q,\,C14,\,\textbf{22a}),\,28.50\,\,(q,\,C14,\,\textbf{22b}),\,31.04$ (d, C11, 22b), 31.08 (d, C11, 22a), 34.54 (t, C7, 22a), 34.82 (d, C7, 22b), 39.47 (d, C8, 22a), 39.63 (d, C8, 22b), 48.62 (t, C2, 22b), 48.78 (t, C2, 22 a), 71.35 (d, C10, 22 b), 71.39 (d, C10, 22 a), 76.23 (s, C3, 22 a), 116.75 (d, C1, 22b), 117.06 (d, C1, 22a), 123.85 (d, C9, 22b), 124.07 (d, C9, 22a), 143.79 (s, C4, 22 a), 153.02 ppm (s, C5, 22 a). The olefinic quaternary carbons in 22b were not detected. Because of experimental difficulties in the separation of the epimers and of the complexity of the ¹H NMR spectrum of the mixture, no attempt was made to establish which is which of the two epimers. UV (CHCl₃): $\lambda_{max} = 262 \text{ nm}$; MS (70 eV, EI): m/z (%): 300/298 ([M]⁺, 6), 285/283 ([M-CH₃]⁺, 0.3), 219 ([M-Br]⁺, 33), 163 (100), 161 (38), 43 (65); HR-EI-MS m/z calcd for C₁₅H₂₃⁷⁹BrO 298.0932; found: 298.0935 ± 0.006.

Molecular modeling: For compounds **7**, **10**, **12**, and **14**, conformational space search was carried out by the GMMX computer program (allowing for either ring coordinate movements and free rotations around C8–C10 and C10–C11 bonds) from initial structures generated by the computer program PCMODEL 7.0. Structures obtained in an energy window of 2.5 kcalmol⁻¹ were strain-minimized by the computer program MM3(96). All output structures obtained within an energy window of 2.0 kcalmol⁻¹ were taken into account in deriving ³*J* coupling constants. The relative populations of conformers were calculated according to the distribution law at 298 K, whereas the vicinal coupling constants were calculated

5776 —

from the Boltzmann-averaged GMMX ensemble by means of the Altona–Karplus equation.^[11] PM3 semiempirical calculations were performed with the MOPAC program as implemented in PCMODEL 7.0.

Acknowledgement

We are grateful to MIUR, Rome, for financial support of this work, and to the Italian Ministry of Foreign Affairs for a postdoctoral fellowship to D. Skropeta.

- a) K. L. Erickson in Marine Natural Products, Vol. 4 (Ed.: P. J. Scheuer, Academic Press, New York, **1983**, pp. 131–257; b) D. J. Faulkner, Nat. Prod. Rep. **2000**, 17, 14–15; D. J. Faulkner, Nat. Prod. Rep. **1999**, 16, 161–163; D. J. Faulkner, Nat. Prod. Rep. **1997**, 14, 266–267; D. J. Faulkner, Nat. Prod. Rep. **1996**, 13, 81–83; D. J. Faulkner, Nat. Prod. Rep. **1995**, 12, 228–231; D. J. Faulkner, Nat. Prod. Rep. **1993**, 10, 504–506; D. J. Faulkner, Nat. Prod. Rep. **1992**, 9, 328–329; D. J. Faulkner, Nat. Prod. Rep. **1991**, 8, 104–107; D. J. Faulkner, Nat. Prod. Rep. **1990**, 7, 273–276;
- [2] G. Guella, D. Skropeta, S. Breuils, I. Mancini, F. Pietra, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2001, 42, 723–725.

- [3] Cyclokessyl acetate, isolated from a terrestrial plant, Valeriana fauriei (Y. Oshima, Y. Hikino, H. Hikino, Tetrahedron Lett. 1986, 27, 1829–1832), possesses the same carbon-carbon connectivity but has a different stereochemistry and reactivity with respect to calenzanol (4), thus being viewed in a different category. A similar situation was encountered with sarcodictyin diterpenes with respect to eunicellin diterpenes (M. D'Ambrosio, A. Guerriero, F. Pietra, Helv. Chim. Acta 1988, 71, 964–976).
- [4] G. Guella, D. Skropeta, I. Mancini, F. Pietra, Z. Naturforsch. B; Chem. Sci. 2002, 10, 1147–1151.
- [5] R. Hanselaer, M. Samson, M. Vandewalle, *Tetrahedron* 1978, 34, 2393–2397.
- [6] N. Buelow, W. A. Koenig, Phytochemistry 2000, 55, 141-168
- [7] a) M. Oda, T. Kajioka, T. Uchiyama, K. Nagara, T. Okujima, S. Ito, N. Morita, T. Sato, R. Miyatake, S. Kuroda, *Tetrahedron* **1999**, *55*, 6081–6096; b) T. Nozoe, K. Shindo, H. Wakabayashi, T. Kurihara, J. Uzawa, *Chem. Lett.* **1995**, 687–688.
- [8] H. Oediger, F. Möller, K. Eiter, Synthesis 1972, 591-598.
- [9] a) E. J. P. Malar, F. Neumann, K. Jug, J. Mol. Struct. (TheoChem) 1995, 336, 81–84; b) M. R. Helal, J. Mol. Struct. (TheoChem) 2000, 528, 255–261.
- [10] F. De Proft, P. von R. Schleyer, J. H. van Lenthe, F. Stahl, P. Geerlings, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2002, 8, 3402–3410.
- [11] C. A. G. Haasnot, F. A. A. M. de Leuw, C. Altona, *Tetrahedron* 1980, 36, 2783–2795.

Received: April 7, 2003 Revised: June 6, 2003 [F5023]